arXiv:1704.03166v1 [math.AT] 11 Apr 2017

A NOTE ON THE ALGEBRA OF OPERATIONS FOR HOPF COHOMOLOGY AT ODD PRIMES

MAURIZIO BRUNETTI, ADRIANA CIAMPELLA, AND LUCIANO A. LOMONACO

Abstract. Let p be any prime, and let $\mathcal{B}(p)$ be the algebra of operations on the cohomology ring of any cocommutative $\mathbb{F}_p$-Hopf algebra. In this paper we show that when p is odd (and unlike the $p = 2$ case), $\mathcal{B}(p)$ cannot become an object in the Singer category of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras with coproducts, if we require that coproducts act on the generators of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ coherently with their nature of cohomology operations.

1. Introduction

After noticing that the algebra $\mathcal{B}(p)$ of Steenrod operations on $\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, the cohomology of a graded cocommutative Hopf algebra Λ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, is (not even only for $p = 2$) neither a Hopf algebra nor a bialgebra, William B. Singer introduced in [25] the notions, one dual to the other, of a *k-algebra with coproducts* and *k-coalgebra with products*, for any commutative ring k, arguing that this is the right categorial setting to study $\mathcal{B}(2)$ and its dual. Further examples of k-algebras with coproducts appeared in literature in the last decade. For instance the third author studied in [21] those arising as invariants of finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_2$-polynomial algebras under the action of the general linear groups and their upper triangular subgroups.

More recently [7], the authors have taken into account $\mathcal{B}(p)$, when p is an odd prime. Such algebra has been described in terms of generators and relations by Liulevicius in [18]. The important role of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ for stable homotopy computations is well and long established (see for example [1], [2], [3], [17], [18]). Furthermore a relevant subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ is a quotient of the universal Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{Q}(p)$, introduced in [24] and broadly examined by the authors ([4]-[16], [19],[20], [22]). Along the spirit of [25], in [7] the authors equipped $\mathcal{B}(p)$ with a suitable collection of $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear mappings that made it the underlying set of an object in the Singer category of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras with coproducts. Yet, in [7], the chosen coproduct acting on the Bockstein operator β has little to do with its nature of cohomology operation.

Our Theorem 2.3 states that $\mathcal{B}(p)$ does not admit a structure of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts consistent with (2.10), and hence with the geometric meaning of all its generators.

A comparison between Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 shows that the non-primitivity of the Bockstein operator stands as unavoidable obstruction. This is an interesting phenomenon that deserves to be further investigated. In fact it suggests that Singer's notion of *algebra with coproducts* in [25] needs to be refined, or perhaps that the algebra $\mathcal{B}(p)$ is a deformation of a certain geometrically significant (and yet-to-be-determined) algebraic object.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55S10, 55T15.
Key words and phrases. Steenrod Algebra, Invariant Theory.

2. A Theorem of Non-existence

Let p be an odd prime. We recall that the algebra $\mathcal{B}(p)$ of Steenrod operations on the cohomology ring of any cocommutative Hopf $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra Λ is generated by

$$P^k : \mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^{q,t}(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^{q+2k(p-1),pt}(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad (k,q,t \geqslant 0), \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\beta : \mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^{q,t}(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^{q+1,pt}(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_p) \qquad (q,t \geqslant 0) \tag{2.2}$$

subject to the following relations (see [18]):

$$\beta^2 = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$P^a P^b = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a}{p} \rfloor} A(b,a,t)\; P^{a+b-t}P^t \quad \text{when } a < pb, \tag{2.4}$$

(2.5)

$$P^a\beta P^b = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a}{p} \rfloor} B(b,a,t)\; \beta P^{a+b-t}P^t + \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a-1}{p} \rfloor} A(b,a-1,t)\; P^{a+b-t}\beta P^t \quad \text{when } a \leqslant pb.$$

Coefficients in the several sums of (2.4) and (2.5) read as follows:

$$A(k,r,j) = (-1)^{r+j}\binom{(p-1)(k-j)-1}{r-pj}$$

and

$$B(k,r,j) = (-1)^{r+j}\binom{(p-1)(k-j)}{r-pj}.$$

Unlike the element with the same name in the ordinary Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_p$, P^0 in $\mathcal{B}(p)$ is not the identity.

We now recall the definition of *k-algebra with coproducts*.

Definition 2.1. A *k-algebra with coproducts* is a bigraded unital algebra

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_{n,s} \,|\, n,s \geqslant 0\}$$

together with degree preserving maps $\epsilon_s : \mathcal{C}_{*,s} \to k$ and $\psi_s : \mathcal{C}_{*,s} \to \mathcal{C}_{*,s} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{*,s}$ for each $s \geqslant 0$ such that:

(i) $\mathcal{C}_{*,s}$ is a graded coalgebra with counit ϵ_s and coproduct ψ_s, for each $s \geqslant 0$;
(ii) the algebra unit $\eta : k \to \mathcal{C}_{*,0}$ is a map of coalgebras;
(iii) the multiplication $\mu : \oplus_{h+k=s}(\mathcal{C}_{*,h} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{*,k}) \to \mathcal{C}_{*,s}$ preserves the coalgebra structure for each $s \geqslant 0$.

As already noted in [7], Item (iii) of Definition 2.1 makes sense: the category of graded coalgebras has tensor products and sums, and the category of graded algebras has tensor products and categorical products. Explicitly, given two graded algebras A and B, on $A \otimes B$ we assume defined the product

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{(\deg b)(\deg c)}(ac \otimes bd). \tag{2.6}$$

It follows in particular that $\mathcal{D}_{h,k} = \mathcal{C}_{*,h} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{*,k}$ is a coalgebra, and a comultiplication on $\mathcal{E}_s = \oplus_{h+k=s}\mathcal{D}_{h,k}$ defined coordinatewise makes $\mathcal{E}_s$ itself a coalgebra.

Note also that Item (iii) essentially says that each map in the family of maps $\{\psi_s\}$ is completely determined by 'extending multiplicatively' the action on the elements of a generating set of $\mathcal{C}$.

In [7], we proved the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Once assigned the bidegree*

$$|P^s| = (2s(p-1), 1), \qquad |\beta| = (1, 0), \tag{2.7}$$

to its algebra generators, $\mathcal{B}(p)$ admits a unique structure as a $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts, where

$$\psi_0(\beta) = \beta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \beta \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi_1(P^s) = \sum_{i+j=s} P^i \otimes P^j \qquad (s \geqslant 0). \tag{2.8}$$

The unique structure the statement referred to turned out to be the dual of a suitable $\mathbb{F}_p$-*coalgebra with products* in the sense of [25].

The proof uses the fact that the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space $\mathcal{B}(p)_{*,s}$ has a basis made by admissible monomials, i.e. monomials of type

$$\beta^{\varepsilon_0} P^{t_1} \beta^{\varepsilon_1} P^{t_2} \cdots \beta^{\varepsilon_{s-1}} P^{t_s} \beta^{\varepsilon_s} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and $t_j \geqslant pt_{j+1} + \varepsilon_j$ for $1 \leqslant j < s$ (see Proposition 3.14 in [7]).

At a careful examination, Theorem 2.2 cannot be viewed as the odd p-counterpart of Theorem 1.2 in [25].

In fact the Bockstein operator β acts on products in cohomology rings of Hopf algebras according to the formula

$$\beta(uv) = \beta(u) \cdot P^0(v) + (-1)^{|u|} P^0(u) \cdot \beta(v)$$

(see Equation 3.2.5 in [18]). Consequently, a coproduct $\tilde{\psi}$ that would take such behaviour into account should satisfy

$$\tilde{\psi}(\beta) = \beta \otimes P^0 + P^0 \otimes \beta. \tag{2.10}$$

It is quite natural to ask whether $\mathcal{B}(p)$, after suitably regrading its generators, admits a structure of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts consistent with (2.10). Theorem 2.3 answers negatively to such question.

Theorem 2.3. *Let p be an odd prime. There is no way to doubly filter $\mathcal{B}(p)$ in order to make it a $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts, if we require that coproducts are defined consistently with*

$$\beta \longmapsto \beta \otimes P^0 + P^0 \otimes \beta \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$P^s \longmapsto \sum_{i+j=s} P^i \otimes P^j \qquad (s \geqslant 0). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose there exists an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts

$$\Big(\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n,s} \,|\, n, s \geqslant 0\},\ \mu,\ \eta, \{\epsilon_s \,|\, s \geqslant 0\},\ \{\tilde{\psi}_s \,|\, s \geqslant 0\}\Big), \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{n,s} = \mathcal{B}(p),$$

and the coproducts in $\{\tilde{\psi}_s \,|\, s \geqslant 0\}$ are consistent with (2.11) and (2.12).

Definition 2.1 in particular implies that $\psi_s(\mathcal{C}_{*,s}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{*,s} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{*,s}$. By (2.11) and (2.12) it follows that the Bockstein operator β and the Steenrod powers P^i $(i \geqslant 0)$

all belong to the same coalgebra $\mathcal{C}_{*,\bar{s}}$ for a suitable $\bar{s} \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\bar{r}$ and $\bar{t}$ be the non-negative integers such that

$$\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{r},\bar{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad P^0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{t},\bar{s}}.$$

By Item (iii) of Definition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}_{2\bar{s}}(\beta^2) &= \tilde{\psi}_{\bar{s}}(\beta)\tilde{\psi}_{\bar{s}}(\beta)\\ &= (\beta \otimes P^0 + P^0 \otimes \beta)(\beta \otimes P^0 + P^0 \otimes \beta).\end{aligned}$$

The latter equality comes from (2.11). Recalling (2.6) and the fact that $\beta^2 = 0$ by (2.3), we obtain

$$\tilde{\psi}_{2\bar{s}}(\beta^2) = \tilde{\psi}_{2\bar{s}}(0) = (-1)^{\bar{t}^2}\beta P^0 \otimes P^0\beta + (-1)^{\bar{r}^2} P^0\beta \otimes \beta P^0. \tag{2.14}$$

Equation (2.14) contradicts the $\mathbb{F}_p$-linearity of $\tilde{\psi}_{2\bar{s}}$, in fact βP^0 and $P^0\beta$ are both non-zero in $\mathcal{B}(p)$ and non-proportional. □

3. Toward Further Investigation

Theorem 2.3 does not foil the attempt to provide proper subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ with a structure of an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts, as the next Proposition shows.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}(p)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ generated by the set $\{P^i \,|\, i \geqslant 0\}$ of pure powers. Once assigned the bidegree*

$$|P^s| = (2s(p-1), 1), \tag{3.1}$$

the algebra $\mathcal{P}(p)$ admits a unique structure as a $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra with coproducts, where

$$\psi_1(P^s) = \sum_{i+j=s} P^i \otimes P^j \qquad (s \geqslant 0). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Once you input Theorem 2.2, the only relevant point is the absence of β among the generating relations (2.4) in $\mathcal{P}(p)$.

□

In [7], the authors took into account the subalgebra $\mathcal{C}(p)$ of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ generated by the set $\{P^i, \beta P^i \,|\, i \geqslant 0\}$. There is a good reason to believe that $\mathcal{C}(p)$ could be made an object in Singer's category with coproducts consistent with (2.11) and (2.12). In fact, assigned the bidegree

$$|P^s| = (2s(p-1), 1), \qquad |\beta P^s| = (2s(p-1)+1, 2), \tag{3.3}$$

and set

$$\psi_2(\beta P^0) = \beta P^0 \otimes P^0P^0 + P^0P^0 \otimes \beta P^0,$$

the map ψ_4 could be $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear since the element $\beta P^0 \beta P^0 = 0$ would be mapped onto $(\beta P^0 \otimes P^0P^0 + P^0P^0 \otimes \beta P^0)^2$ which can be proved to vanish by (2.5) and (2.6). The proof that all relations are preserved will depend on the existence of an appropriate $\mathbb{F}_p$-coalgebra with products: the dual to the required structure on $\mathcal{C}(p)$.

References

[1] R. R. Bruner, *The homotopy theory of H_∞ ring spectra*, in J. P. May, J. E. McClure and M. Steinberger (eds.), *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1176** (Springer, Berlin, 1986).

[2] R. R. Bruner, *The homotopy groups of H_∞ ring spectra*, in J. P. May, J. E. McClure and M. Steinberger (eds.), *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1176** (Springer, Berlin, 1986).

[3] R. R. Bruner, *The Adams spectral sequence of H_∞ ring spectra*, in J. P. May, J. E. McClure and M. Steinberger (eds.), *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1176** (Springer, Berlin, 1986).

[4] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, *A Priddy-type Koszulness criterion for non-locally finite algebras*, Colloq. Math. **109** (2007), no. 2, 179–192.

[5] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, *The Fractal Structure of the Universal Steenrod Algebra: An Invariant-theoretic Description*, Appl. Math. Sci. (Ruse) **8** (2014) no. 133, 6681-6688.

[6] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *An Embedding for the E_2-term of the Adams spectral sequence at odd primes*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **22** (2006), no. 6, 1657–1666.

[7] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *An Example in the Singer Category of Algebras with Coproducts at Odd Primes*, Vietnam J. Math. **44** (2016), no.2, 1657–1666.

[8] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *Homology and cohomology operations in terms of differential operators*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **42** (2010), no. 1, 53–63.

[9] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *Length-preserving monomorphisms for some algebras of operations*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. **23** (2017), no. 1, 487–500.

[10] M. Brunetti, A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *The cohomology of the universal Steenrod algebra*, Manuscripta Math., **118** (2005), no. 3, 271–282.

[11] M. Brunetti, L. A. Lomonaco, *An embedding for the E_2-term of the Adams spectral sequence*, Ricerche Mat. **54** (2005), no. 1, 185–200.

[12] M. Brunetti, L. A. Lomonaco, *Chasing non-diagonal cycles in a certain system of algebras of operations*, Ricerche Mat. **63** (2014), no. 1, suppl., S57–S68.

[13] D. Chataur, M. Livernet, *Adem-Cartan operads*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 11, 4337–4360.

[14] A. Ciampella, *The complete Steenrod algebra at odd primes*, Ric. Mat. **57** (2008), no. 1, 65–79.

[15] A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *Homological computations in the universal Steenrod algebra*, Fund. Math. **183** (2004), no. 3, 245–252.

[16] A. Ciampella, L. A. Lomonaco, *The universal Steenrod algebra at odd primes*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004) no. 7, 2589–2607.

[17] D. S. Kahn, *Cup-i products and the Adams spectral sequence*, Topology **9** (1970) 1–9.

[18] A. Liulevicius, *The factorization of cyclic reduced powers by secondary cohomology operations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1962).

[19] L. A. Lomonaco, *A basis of admissible monomials for the universal Steenrod algebra*, Ricerche Mat. **40** (1991), 137–147.

[20] L. A. Lomonaco, *A phenomenon of reciprocity in the universal Steenrod algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **330** (1992), 813–821.

[21] L. A. Lomonaco, *On Singer's algebra and coalgebra structures*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) **9** (2006), no. 3, 611–617.

[22] L. A. Lomonaco, *The diagonal cohomology of the universal Steenrod algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **121** (1997), no. 3, 315–323.

[23] M. A. Mandell, *E_∞ algebras and p-adic homotopy theory*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 1, 43–94.

[24] J. P. May, *A general algebraic approach to Steenrod operations*, in *The Steenrod algebra and its applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **168** (Springer, Berlin, 1970) 153–231.

[25] W. M. Singer, *On the algebra of operations for Hopf cohomology*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), 627–635.

Dipartimento di Matematica e applicazioni,
Università di Napoli Federico II,
Piazzale Tecchio 80 I-80125 Napoli, Italy.

E-mail: mbrunett@unina.it, ciampell@unina.it, lomonaco@unina.it